
FURTHER NOTES ON ANTHURUS BOREALIS.

W. W. STOCKBERGER.

In a recent note on *Anthurus borealis* Burt, (OHIO NATURALIST 6:474, 1906) D. R. Sumstine states that he has not seen it reported from any other places than those localities in New York and Massachusetts recorded by Burt when he described the species in 1894.

Lloyd (*Mycological Notes*, No. 17, p. 183, 1894) acknowledges the receipt of some specimens collected by Beardslee near Cleveland, Ohio. Later a short account of the occurrence of *Anthurus borealis* in northern Ohio, by Beardslee, was published by the Ohio State Academy of Science (9th Ann. Rept. p. 19, 1901). The occurrence of this fungus at Granville, Ohio, was reported before the Ohio Academy at its annual meeting in 1901 (10th Ann. Rept. p. 20, 1902), and this station is further recorded in Lloyd's *Mycological Notes* (No. 19, p. 219, 1905) along with some previously unrecorded New England stations, one at East Hartford, Conn., one at Storrs, Conn., and several in Massachusetts.

Its further occurrence as noted by Sumstine would seem to indicate that this species of *Anthurus* does not occur so rarely as has been supposed, and that its occasional occurrence throughout Ohio may be safely predicted.

Washington, D. C., March 2, 1906.